

RESOLUTION OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY OF
THE TOWN OF FORT MYERS BEACH, FLORIDA
RESOLUTION NUMBER 2008-20

WHEREAS, Ron Stutzmann, on behalf of Lahaina Inn Resort, the property owner, filed a Petition for Designation of Historically Significant or Landmark Sign for the following sign: "Lahaina Inn", pursuant to Section 30-56(b) of the Town of Fort Myers Beach Land Development Code (LDC) and Chapter 13 of the Town of Fort Myers Beach Comprehensive Plan (Comp Plan); and

WHEREAS, the subject property is located at 5580 Estero Boulevard, Fort Myers Beach, Lee County, Florida and the applicant has indicated that the subject property has a current STRAP number of 33-46-24-W1-02400.0110; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing for this matter was legally advertised and held before the Town of Fort Myers Beach Local Planning Agency (LPA) on May 20, 2008; and

WHEREAS, at such hearing, the LPA gave full and complete consideration to the request of applicant, the documents in the file, the standards set forth in Chapter 13 of the Comp Plan and Section 30-56(b) of the LDC and the testimony of all interested persons.

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE LPA OF THE TOWN OF FORT MYERS BEACH, FLORIDA as follows:

The LPA determines that the sign **IS NOT** a landmark or an object of significance in history, architecture, archaeology, engineering or culture that may be related to a specific setting or environment for the reasons set forth below. Accordingly, the LPA **DOES NOT** designate the Lahain Inn Resort sign as a **landmark sign or historically significant sign**, pursuant to LDC Section 30-56(b) and Chapter 13 of the Comp Plan.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

1. The sign:

a) **IS NOT** associated with events that significantly contributed to the broad patterns of Estero Island's history, or

b) **IS NOT** associated with the lives of persons significant in Estero Island's past, or

c) **DOES NOT** embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or **DOES NOT** possess high artistic values or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or

d) On an individual basis, the sign does not constitute a significant site, **AND DOES NOT** contribute to the overall significance of a district.

e) The LPA makes the following findings of fact in support of the conclusions reached in this subparagraph 1: applicant failed to provide evidence of landmark status or historic significance of the sign.

2. The sign **DOES NOT** meet sufficient integrity criteria to designate the sign as a landmark sign or historically significant sign. It:

- a) **DOES NOT** possess integrity of location; and
- b) **DOES NOT** possess integrity of design; and
- c) **DOES NOT** possess integrity of setting; and
- d) **DOES NOT** possess integrity of materials; and
- e) **DOES NOT** possess integrity of workmanship; and
- f) **DOES NOT** possess integrity of feeling; and
- g) **DOES NOT** possess integrity of association.
- h) The LPA makes the following findings of fact in support of the conclusions reached in this subparagraph 2: applicant failed to provide evidence of landmark status or historic significance of the sign.

The foregoing Resolution was adopted by the LPA upon a motion by LPA Member Rochelle Kay and seconded by LPA Member Evie Barnes and upon being put to a vote, the result was as follows:

Dennis Weimer, Chair aye
Evie Barnes aye
Joanne Shamp aye
Joe Yerkes aye

Alan Mandel, Vice Chair aye
Rochelle Kay aye
Bill Van Duzer aye

DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 20th day of May, 2008.

LPA of the Town of Fort Myers Beach

By: 
Dennis Weimer, LPA Chair

Approved as to legal sufficiency:

By: 
Anne Dalton, Esquire
LPA Attorney

ATTEST:

By: 
Michelle Mayher, Town Clerk